



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,605	12/11/2001	Bharadwaj S. Amrutur	10010107-1	6541
7	7590 04/13/2004		EXAM	INER .
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			· TORRES, JOSEPH D	
Legal Departm			ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBED
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2133	ے
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L

				1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	p
		10/020,605	AMRUTUR ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph D. Torres	2133	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 E	December 2001.		
2a)□	•	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notic	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/020,605

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to A Scrambler and ECC Encoder, classified in class
 714, subclass 762.
- Claims 11-25 and 31-33, drawn to A Decoder and Descrambler, classified in class 714, subclass 762.
- III. Claims 26-30, drawn to A Method for Converting Serialized Data into Data Frames as a Function of Error Indications and Converting said Data Frames into ECC-Decoded Data and said Error Indications, classified in class 714, subclass 755.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I, A Scrambler and ECC Encoder, and Group II, A Decoder and Descrambler, are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group I, A Scrambler and ECC Encoder, has separate utility such as for encoding data at a transmitter. In the instant case, invention Group II, A Decoder and Descrambler, has separate utility such as for decoding data at a receiver. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions Group I, A Scrambler and ECC Encoder, and Group III, A Method for Converting Serialized Data into Data Frames as a Function of Error Indications and

Art Unit: 2133

Converting said Data Frames into ECC-Decoded Data and said Error Indications, are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination, Group I, A Scrambler and ECC Encoder, as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination, Group III, A Method for Converting Serialized Data into Data Frames as a Function of Error Indications and Converting said Data Frames into ECC-Decoded Data and said Error Indications, as claimed because the combination does not require a method for converting serialized data into data frames as a function of error indications. The subcombination has separate utility such as for encoding data at a transmitter.

Inventions Group II, A Decoder and Descrambler, and Group III, A Method for Converting Serialized Data into Data Frames as a Function of Error Indications and Converting said Data Frames into ECC-Decoded Data and said Error Indications, are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination, Group II, A Decoder and Descrambler, as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination, Group III, A Method for Converting Serialized Data into Data Frames as a Function of Error Indications and Converting said Data Frames

Application/Control Number: 10/020,605

Art Unit: 2133

into ECC-Decoded Data and said Error Indications, as claimed because the combination does not require a method for converting serialized data into data frames as a function of error indications. The subcombination has separate utility such as for de-scrambling and decoding data at a receiver.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice a versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III and vice a versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III and vice a versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Steven Greenfield on 01 April 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2133

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (703) 308-7066. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joséph/D/Torres, PhD Art/Unit 2133